

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,791	09/08/2003	Kia Silverbrook	BAL52US	8951
	7590 07/15/200 OK RESEARCH PTY I		EXAM	INER
393 DARLING STREET			MENBERU, BENIYAM	
BALMAIN, 2041 AUSTRALIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/656,791 SILVERBROOK, KIA Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

	BENIYAM MENBERU	2625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If No period for reply is generalled above, the microman statutory period verification of the provision of 37 CFR 1.1 after to reply within the set or extended period for reply with by statute. - Tailors to reply within the set or extended period for reply with by statute, and the set of the provision of the	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 M</u> 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final.	secution as to the	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E			, monto io				
Disposition of Claims	•						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	a 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c)⊠ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents		-(d) or (f).					
Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National	Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 909)	4) D Intonious Summons	(DTO 412)					

Notice of References Cited (PTO-932)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/CE)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ______.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: _____

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Application/Control Number: 10/656,791 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Priority

- Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Australia on July 12, 1997. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the PP7979 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).
- Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Australia on July 15, 1997. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the PO7991 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,791
Art Unit: 2625

 Claims 1, 2, 3, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5875034 to Shintani et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 5916358 to Bagchi et al.

Regarding claim 1, Shintani et al '034 discloses an image sensing (Figure 1, CCD 101; column 7, lines 23-30) and printing (Figure 1, reference 111; 60-66) digital camera device (Figure 1 shows digital camera; column 7, lines 21-23; column 10, lines 25-29; analog/digital conversion) which comprises

a housing (Figure 3a shows housing for the camera (column 6, lines 21-25; camera main body is housing);

an area image sensor positioned on the housing for sensing a viewed image to be printed on media and for generating pixel data representing the viewed image (column 7, lines 24-30; CCD is sensor; column 10, lines 9-44; memory 311, 312 store image data from sensor; RGB image data; column 20, lines 8-19; pixel; column 12, lines 63-67; column 13, lines 1-15; images in memory 311, 312 is printed on media (column 14, lines 13-16; recording sheet).);

a printing mechanism that is arranged on the housing (column 6, lines 21-25; printer case; Figure 1 reference 111 is printer (column 7, lines 12-21;), the printing mechanism defining a media feed path (column 14, lines 13-15; sheet feeding) and comprising a print head assembly (column 13, lines 63-67; head 403) that includes a having at least one print head chip that spans the media feed path (column 13, lines 63-67; column 14, lines 1-6; head 410 is the print head chip;); and

a feed mechanism for feeding media along the media feed path so that the print head

Art Unit: 2625

can carry out a printing operation on the media (column 14, lines 12-21; sheet feeding unit 404); and

a processor that is positioned in the housing, the processor comprising processing circuitry (Figure 1, processor 100, processing unit 102; column 7, lines 29-34); an image sensor interface connected to the processing circuitry for receiving pixel data from the image sensor (Figure 1, CCD sensor 101 is connected to the processor 102; pixel data from sensor 101 is received by processor 102; column 10, lines 8-18), converting the pixel data into an internal format and writing the converted pixel data to the processing circuitry (column 10, lines 25-44; digital conversion by 305; memory 311, 312 are used for writing data), the processing circuitry being configured to convert the pixel data to print image data (column 12, lines 63-67; column 13, lines 1-15; data from memory 311, 312 are used for printing); and

a print head interface connected to the processing circuitry for receiving the print image data from the processing circuitry and for providing signals representing the print image data to the print head so that the print head can carry out said printing operation to generate a printed representation of said viewed image (Figure 1 shows interface between processor 102 and printer 111; Figure 5 shows print head unit 400, 403, 410; column 13, lines 63-67; column 14, lines 1-6;). However Shintani et al '034 does not disclose a page width print head.

Bagchi et al '358 discloses disclose a page width print head (column 23, lines 32-44; printers internal to digital camera application; column 25, lines 9-14; page width print head).

Art Unit: 2625

Having the system of *Shintani* et al '034 and then given the well-established teaching of *Bagchi* et al '358, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system of *Shintani* et al '034 as taught by *Bagchi* et al '358, since *Bagchi* et al '358 stated in col. 30, Lines 36-41, 55-61, such a modification would provide tolerance for production and reliance.

Regarding claim 2, Shintani et al '034 in view of Bagchi et al '358 teaches all the limitations of claim 1. Further Shintani et al '034 discloses a device as claimed in claim 1, in which the area image sensor is one of a charge coupled device and an active pixel sensor (Figure 1, CCD 101; column 7, lines 23-30).

Regarding claim 3, Shintani et al '034 in view of Bagchi et al '358 teaches all the limitations of claim 1. Further Shintani et al '034 discloses a device as claimed in claim 1, in which the printing mechanism includes an ink distribution assembly that is mounted on the print head assembly to distribute ink to the print head chips (column 18, lines 1-9, head 410 is pressed onto ink ribbon).

Regarding claim 5, Shintani et al '034 in view of Bagchi et al '358 teaches all the limitations of claim 1. Further Shintani et al '034 discloses a device as claimed in claim 1, in which the processor is configured to be programmable with any of a number of image processing programs so that the processor can carry out image processing operations on the pixel data in accordance with a selected program loaded on the processor (column 12, lines 34-42, 63-67; column 13, lines 1-5, 16-20; tv mode, printing

Art Unit: 2625

mode, and multi-image are the image processing programs that are executed depending on the mode).

Regarding claim 6, Shintani et al '034 in view of Bagchi et al '358 teaches all the limitations of claim 5. Further Shintani et al '034 discloses a device as claimed in claim 5, which includes a reader for reading said any of a number of image processing programs stored on a data storage device and a reader interface for writing the program to the processor (column 12, lines 11-19 (information read from memory card); column 12, lines 34-42, 63-67; column 13, lines 1-5, 16-20; processor 100 is programmed to perform processing depending on the mode. When the processor 100 is programmed to execute processing (column 13, lines 16-20), that reads on writing program to the processor.).

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S.
 Patent No. 5875034 to Shintani et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 5916358 to Bagchi et al further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6597394 to Duncan et al.

Regarding claim 4, Shintani et al '034 in view of Bagchi et al '358 teach all the limitations of claim 1. However Shintani et al '034 in view of Bagchi et al '358 does not disclose a device as claimed in claim 1, in which the processing circuitry defines a VLIW processor that is configured to perform image processing operations on the pixel data.

Duncan et al disclose in which the processing circuitry defines a VLIW processor that is configured to perform image processing operations on the pixel data (column 17, lines 30-62).

Art Unit: 2625

Having the system of *Shintani* et al '034 in view of Bagchi et al '358 and then given the well-established teaching of *Duncan* et al '394, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system of *Shintani* et al '034 in view of Bagchi et al '358 as taught by *Duncan* et al '394, since *Duncan* et al '394 stated in column 17, lines 34-51, such a modification would provide many instructions using the VLIW architecture.

7. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5875034 to Shintani et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 5916358 to Bagchi et al further in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0024603 A1 to Nakayama et al.

Regarding claim 7, Shintani et al '034 in view of Bagchi et al '358 teach all the limitations of claim 6. However Shintani et al '034 in view of Bagchi et al '358 does not disclose a device as claimed in claim 6, in which the reader is an optical reader for reading a two-dimensional pattern printed on a planar element, the two-dimensional pattern representing a program in an image processing language, the optical reader being configured to generate program data and the reader interface being configured to receive the program data and to write the program data, in an internal format, to the processor.

Nakayama et al disclose a device as claimed in claim 6, in which the reader is an optical reader for reading a two-dimensional pattern printed on a planar element, the two-dimensional pattern representing a program in an image processing language, the optical reader being configured to generate program data and the reader interface being

Art Unit: 2625

configured to receive the program data and to write the program data, in an internal format, to the processor (page 3, paragraph 54; page 2, paragraph 32,33,34).

Having the system of *Shintani* et al '034 in view of Bagchi et al '358 and then given the well-established teaching of *Nakayama* et al '603, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system of *Shintani* et al '034 in view of Bagchi et al '358 as taught by *Nakayama* et al '603, since *Nakayama* et al '603 stated in page 1, paragraph 17, such a modification would provide alternate method for controlling the image device by loading the program from external source.

Regarding claim 8, Shintani et al '034 in view of Bagchi et al '358 further in view of Nakayama et al teach all the limitations of claim 7. Further Nakayama et al disclose a device as claimed in claim 7, in which the processor includes a memory device (Nakayama et al : page 3, paragraph 54, reference 4, 5), the processing circuitry being configured to write the program data in the internal format to the memory device (Nakayama et al : page 3, paragraph 54; page 2, paragraph 34), the processor further including a central processing unit (Nakayama et al : page 3, paragraph 58) and Shintani et al '034 disclose wherein CPU which runs the program from the memory device (processor 100 is programmed to execute processing (column 13, lines 16-20)) to define a software algorithm in terms of which the central processing unit addresses registers in the print head interface to apply a desired effect to the print image data (Figure 1, processor 100 interfaces the printing section 111; column 13, lines 1-15; "desired print system" on column 13, line 11; column 13, lines 16-20, 56-61; One

Art Unit: 2625

desired effect is multi-image effect which can print multi-image. Column 19, lines 9-25; head unit contains registers 501, 502).

Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BENIYAM MENBERU whose telephone number is (571) 272-7465. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Moore can be reached on (571) 272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2625

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the customer service office whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600. The group receptionist number for TC 2600 is (571) 272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov/.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner

Beniyam Menberu

/Beniyam Menberu/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625

07/11/2008

/David K Moore/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625